UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,971	09/30/2003	Gary K. Michelson	101.0059-02000	4939
22882 MARTIN & FE	7590 08/04/201 ERRARO, LLP		EXAMINER	
1557 LAKE O'I	PINES STREET, NE		WILLSE, DAVID H	
HARTVILLE,	On 44032		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Арр	olication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		10/	674,971	MICHELSON, GA	MICHELSON, GARY K.		
		Exa	miner	Art Unit			
		Dav	rid H. Willse	3738			
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress		
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this committee to reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE (of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. ututory period will appl will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a y and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practic	2b)⊡ This action for allowance e	on is non-final. xcept for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is		
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) <u>29-59 and 62-68</u> is/are pen la) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>29-59 and 62-68</u> is/are reje Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn fro	om consideration.				
	he specification is objected to by the	- Evaminer					
10) 🔲 1	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted ation to the drawi the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeya required if the drawing	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	TO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
	No(s)/Mail Date $\underline{3-23-10}$.		6) Other:				

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29, 30, 33-37, 39, 40, 41, 44-48, 50-59, 62, 63, and 65-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kenna, US 4,714,469. Particular attention is directed to Figures 1 and 4; abstract; column 1, lines 46-56; column 2, lines 7-17; column 5, lines 47-56; and column 6, lines 53-57. Regarding claims 33, 34, 44, and 45, because of the space 9, the implants are effectively combined with a fusion promoting material in the form of bone (column 4, lines 28-30); the porous coating 5 also promotes bone ingrowth and fusion (column 3, line 63, to column 4, line 7; column 1, lines 5-8). Regarding claims 37 and 48, a rotational component of insertion movement would have been inherent in order to steer each implant into alignment with the vertebrae.

Claims 31, 32, 42, 43, 64, and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Kenna, US 4,714,469, and Brantigan, 5,192,327. Kenna lacks openings communicating with a hollow space containing fusion promoting material such as bone, but such was common in the art at the time of the present invention, as seen from

Art Unit: 3738

Brantigan (drawings, abstract, etc.). To so modify Kenna would have been obvious in order to enhance the long-term stability (Brantigan: column 7, lines 21-23; etc.), with further motivation having been provided by the purpose of space 9 (Kenna: column 4, lines 28-30). Moreover, such a modification would have led to nothing more than predictable results because of the prevalence of osseo-integration features in the art. Conversely, providing the Brantigan embodiment of Figure 2, for example, with elongated protuberances of the sort taught by Kenna would have been obvious in order to improve initial rotational stability (Kenna: column 4, lines 22-23), with further motivation having been provided by similarities in design and purpose of these implant types.

Claims 38 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kenna, US 4,714,469, in view of Crozet, WO 98/48738 A1 (via related US 6,855,168). A screw or screws spanning the disc space and threadingly engaging adjacent vertebrae, as taught in Crozet (Figures 1, 28, 42; column 1, lines 43-47; column 2, lines 59-61; column 8, lines 12-19; etc.), would have been an obvious supplement or substitute for the protuberances 5 of Kenna in order to improve anchorage and to promote bone fusion via screw cutting edges (Crozet: column 4, lines 21-24; etc.), with such a variant leading to nothing more than predictable results in view of the widespread use of bone screws in the art.

Applicant's remarks have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection, necessitated by the newly added language pertaining to the implant(s) being inserted after the forming of the opening(s) as claimed. Therefore:

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action

Application/Control Number: 10/674,971 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse, whose telephone number is 571-272-4762 and who is generally available Monday through Thursday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/David H. Willse/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738